Indian J. Soc. & Pol. 04(03):2017 :109-114 **ISSN: 2348-0084(PRINT)**
Special Print Issue 2017 **UGC Journal List No 47956**

FACTORS AFFECTING PHYSICAL ACTIVITY AND SPORT PARTICIPATION IN YOUTH ATHLETES

LAKHWINDER SINGH[1], RIYAJUDDIN[1]

[1]Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Physical, OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan, INDIA
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Physical, OPJS University, Churu, Rajasthan, INDIA

ABSTRACT

Sports and physical fitness are important components of the lifestyle of healthy individuals and of cardiac patients in the rehabilitation phase of their respective disorder, be it coronary artery disease or heart failure .Thus; moderate exercise may be beneficial in chronic stable heart failure. Elevated levels of physical inactivity, sport disengagement, obesity and underweight/malnutrition Indian youth provide a clear indication of the necessity for further evaluation of issues related to physical activity and sport participation. There are not only positive physiological effects on an individual's health, but on many psychosocial factors such as academic involvement and moral/social development. From a physiological perspective, physical inactivity has been linked to the prevalence of obesity in developed countries, as research has indicated that people who spend their leisure time in sedentary pursuits were more likely to be obese than those who were physically active. In our work, sport status is identified as a potential influence on both (a) youth physical activity behaviors and perceptions, and (b) satisfaction with the youth sport environment. A consistent trend indicated that higher status athletes held higher perceptions of physical activity and higher actual physical activity levels, followed by lower status athletes, and non-athletes. The primary purpose of the study is to compare high status athletes, low status athletes, and non-athletes with regard to their perceptions (i.e., TPB variables; attitudes, subjective norms, perceived behavioural control, intentions) and behaviours (i.e., moderate, vigorous, and total physical activity levels) related to physical activity.

KEY WORDS: *Potential, Consistent, Physiological, Athletes, Academic ,Disengagement.*

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity participation is responsible for a number of benefits for youth with regard to both physical and mental health(Baranowski et all 2000,p1-10). From a physiological perspective, physical activity decreases the prevalence of obesity(Statitics Canada,2005), promotes fat-free mass, improves general circulation, and reduces the risk of developing several diseases including coronary heart disease, diabetes, osteoporosis, and hypertension. Psychosocial benefits of youth physical activity include the facilitation of academic development (Donaldson and Ronan,2006,p369-389), moral development (e.g., decreased likelihood of participation in negative behaviors such as gang membership, sexual behaviors, delinquency, smoking, alcohol and drug use (Nelson and Gordon,2006,p 281-290), and social development through peer and social affiliation(Britesch,2000,p560).

In spite of the large number of advantages related with youth don and physical movement cooperation, the drop-out rate for composed youth don in the United States has been assessed at 35%(Gould and Petlichkoff,1988p161-178), and research has reliably shown that young turn out to be less physically dynamic as they get more established(Trembley and Katzmarzik,2002p538).

Moreover, youth overweight and weight rates between the times of 1978 and 2004 have multiplied and tripled individually. This circumstance has unavoidably prompted various wellbeing dangers for this particular populace (e.g., hypertension, sort II diabetes, nonalcoholic greasy liver infection, polycystic ovary issue, cluttered breathing amid rest; (Daniels,2006p47-67), and additionally monetary weights for the Canadian government(katzmarzyk and Jansen,2004 p115) . Given the above data, it is important to inspect potential supporters of youth physical latency.

Past research has demonstrated that adolescent are leaving sport conditions or ending up less dynamic because of various reasons, for example, inability to learn new abilities, absence of fun, absence of alliance, absence of rushes and energy, absence of activity and wellness, no difficulties, a difference in premium, different things to do, choosing to take an interest in

1:Corresponding Author

stationary exercises (e.g.,(Hagar,2006 p661), being of a lower financial status, and having low impression of skill(Weis and Choumeton,1992 p61-99).

LITERATURE SURVEY

Roberts et. al. (2016) inspected the connection between practice symbolism and exercise reliance, expanding on the restrictions of past work that has considered exercise reliance as a solitary factor develop. Looking at the connection amongst symbolism and separate exercise reliance side effects is key to grow what is thought about exercise reliance, yet in addition to educate mediations to address practice reliance. A sum of 339 male (n = 99) and female (n = 240) grown-ups finished measures of activity reliance and symbolism. Basic condition displaying uncovered that distinctive sorts of symbolism were identified with various exercise reliance manifestations. Appearance and wellbeing symbolism were decidedly connected with resistance, lessening in different exercises, and absence of control manifestations. Schedules symbolism was emphatically connected with expectation impacts, though procedure symbolism was contrarily connected with goal impacts. Emotions symbolism was decidedly connected with withdrawal manifestations of activity reliance. These differential impacts feature the significance of considering exercise reliance multidimensionality; specifically, examples of activity symbolism utilize may have essential ramifications for mediations went for diminishing/counteracting exercise reliance.

Valenzano et. al. (2016)exhibited that the present discoveries indicated changes in the edginess in the competitors gathering and furthermore in the non-competitors gathering. Be that as it may, blood lactate appears to have the more prominent impact in prepared subjects contrasted with untrained subjects. Truth be told, it gives the idea that, amid to a great degree concentrated exercise in taekwondo competitors, lactate may defer the beginning of weariness by keeping up the sensitivity of muscle, as well as by expanding the volatility of the essential engine cortex more than in non-competitors.

Szabo et. al. (2016)talked about the over the top enthusiasm and commitment to athletic movement is solid indicators of activity dependence, while amicable energy is disconnected to the last mentioned. The investigation likewise gives solid proof to contrasts amongst competitors and non-aggressive recreation exercisers in practice enslavement, in both congruous and over the top interests and also in devotion to the action. At long last, their investigation additionally uncovers that competitors taking an interest in group activities report more prominent enthusiasm and devotion to their embraced movement than competitors associated with singular games. The high scores of activity compulsion in aggressive competitors seem, by all accounts, to be negligible ancient rarities since they are joined by high scores of enthusiasm and commitment to sports. In like manner, the instruments utilized for surveying exercise fixation in relaxation exercisers may not be proper in the examination of aggressive competitors.

Charlton et. al. (2017)sifted through the methodical survey that furnishes clinicians with a point by point and basic outline of accessible subtle elements of activity intercessions and parameters used to anticipate and treat crotch damage in competitors. Reinforcing practices for the hip and stomach musculature including outside load may prompt ideal results; be that as it may, general they are ineffectively depicted. It is prescribed that any future research directed around there allude to accessible detailing rules to permit replication of research discoveries in the clinical setting.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

METHODS

PARTICIPATION

The general mark population for this study was students in Grade Eight and Grade Nine within a Northern Ontario municipality. Specifically, participants of interest were Grade Eight students from six elementary schools and Grade Nine students from one high school, all of whom returned a signed (by both participant and parent/guardian) informed consent form. 396 parental accord forms were circulated in the classrooms, and 103 were returned, providing a response rate of approximately 26%. The participants ranged in age from 12 to 15 years, with a mean age of 13.57 years (SD - 0.62). The total number of applicants was 95, with 54 self-classified as starting/high status athletes, 20 classified as non-starting/low status athletes, and 21 classified as non-athletes. There were aentire of 49 (51.68%) males and 46 (48.42%) females included in the analysis. The majority of athletes listed basketball (23 %) as their favorite or most important current team, followed by hockey and volleyball (18.92 % each), soccer (17.57%), baseball/softball (5.41%), football, (4.05%), track and field and dance (2.7% each), and swimming, canoe club, tae kwon doe, badminton, and tennis (1.35% each).

PROCEDURE

Initial contact with principals was made following ethical approval from the authors' Research Ethics Board, in addition to the public school district. Following consent from the principal, parent/guardian well-versedaccord forms were distributed in the classrooms. Each student who returned aaccord form was given the package of questionnaires to complete during class time. Students took approximately thirty minutes to complete the questionnaires. The subsequent chapters present two manuscripts that report on the results of the present thesis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MANUSCRIPT 1: SPORTS STATUS AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY PERCEPTIONS OF YOUTH

- **DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

The means and standard deviations for the entire sample and subsamples (i.e., high status athletes, low status athletes, and non-athletes) are offered in Table 1. It is evident that scores for the TPB variables (i.e., attitudes, subjective norms, perceived behavioral control, intentions) are relatively high for each group. Specifically, the mean responses for TPB variables of the overall sample, high status athletes, low status athletes, and non-athletes were well above the median for each respective scale. The mean scores for attitude (-3 to +3 scale range) for the different samples ranged from 1.90 to 2.64. Perceptions of the subjective norm ranged from 5.33 to 6.19. Professed behavioral control ranged from 4.13 to 4.51. Intentions to be physically active ranged from 3.90 to 4.45.

Note. Attitudes were assessed on a -3 to +3 scale rating, subjective norms (1-7), perceived behavioural control (1-5), intentions (1-5).

As anticipated, high status athletes had the highest mean scores, followed by low status athletes, and non-athletes for each TPB variable with the exception of subjective norms, on which low status athletes scored slightly higher than high status athletes. Mean scores for physical activity variables (i.e., moderate, vigorous, and total) indicated that the overall sample participated in physical activity an average of 7.02 time blocks per day. High status athletes had the highest mean total physical activity per day (M = 7.65), followed by non-athletes (M= 6.69) and non-starters (M= 6.33). Moderate physical movement levels followed a rather different pattern of consequences with non-athletes engaging in the most amount of activity at this level (M= 4.69) followed by low status (M= 3.69) and high status athletes (M= 3.57). Finally, the opposite pattern occurred for energetic physical activity, with high status athletes having the highest mean (M= 4.08), followed by low status athletes (M= 2.65) and non-athletes (M= 2.00).

Inter-correlations between study variables are offered in Table 2 for the entire sample and separately for the specific sub-samples (i.e., high status athletes, low status athletes, and non-athletes). Of particular importance to note was that in accordance with the TPB, attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control were all significantly correlated ($p < .01$) with intentions to be physically active. Also, professed behavioral control and purposes were significantly correlated with vigorous physical activity levels. However, neither of these variables was significantly

TABLE 1. DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR THEORY OF PLANNED BEHAVIOR VARIABLES

Variable	Total Sample	High Status	Low Status	Non-athlete
Attitude	2.42 ± 0.70	2.64 ± 0.39	2.44 ± 0.74	1.90 ± 0.93
Subjective norms	5.93 ± 1.24	6.10 ± 1.30	6.14 ± 0.91	5.33 ± 1.32
PBC	4.35 ± 0.59	4.51 ± 0.46	4.28 ± 0.58	4.13 ± 0.71
Intentions	4.23 ± 0.81	4.45 ± 0.73	4.17 ± 0.78	3.90 ± 0.84
Moderate Physical Activity	3.87 ± 2.91	3.57 ± 2.66	3.69 ± 2.57	4.70 ± 3.57
Vigorous Physical Activity	3.19 ± 2.71	4.08 ± 2.73	2.65 ± 2.22	2.00 ± 2.47
Total Physical Activity	7.06 ± 3.70	7.65 ± 3.47	6.33 ± 2.83	6.69 ± 4.55

correlated with moderate physical activity levels.

TABLE 2 : CORRELATIOS FOR THEORY OF PLANNED BEHAVIOR VARIABLES

Variables	Attitudes	SN	PBC	Intentions	MPA	VPA	TPA
Attitudes(T)	-	3.4**	.56**	.60**	-.11	.38**	.20
HS	-	(.37*)	(.46**)	(.48**)	(-.04)	(.30*)	(.20)
LS	-	(.12)	(.67**)	(.76**)	(.09)	(.39)	(.38)
NA	-	(.34)	(.51*)	(.65**)	(-.09)	(.32)	(.10)
SN(T)		-	.26*	.41**	-.08	.25*	.127
HS		-	(.12)	(.40**)	(-.22)	(.20)	(-.01)
LS		-	(.37)	(.30)	(-.14)	(.24)	(.06)
NA		-	(.32)	(.39)	(.29)	(.21)	(.34)
PBC(T)			-	.64**	.04	.36**	.30**
HS			-	(.48**)	(.09)	(.23)	(.24)
LS			-	(.93**)	(.07)	(.42)	(.39)
NA			-	(.66**)	(.09)	(.37)	(.28)
Intentions(T)				-	.05	.46**	.37**
HS				-	(.16)	(.43**)	(.45**)
LS				-	(.05)	(.47)	(.41)
NA				-	(.05)	(.33)	(.22)
MPA (T)					-	-.13	.70**
HS					-	(-.14)	(.64**)
LS					-	(-.31)	(.67**)
NA					-	(.11)	(.84**)
VPA (T)						-	.63**
HS						-	(.68**)
LS						-	(.50*)
NS						-	(.63**)
TPA (T)							-
HS							-
LS							-
NA							-

Note. SN: subjective norms, PBC: perceived behavioural control, MPA: moderate physical activity, VPA: vigorous physical activity, TPA: total physical activity, T: total sample, HS: high status athlete, LS: low status athlete, NA: non-athlete.

*p<. 05. **p<.01.

STARTING STATUS AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY PERCEPTIONS

Before detailing further outcomes, it ought to be noticed that the information were screened for missing information and the suspicions of ordinariness and homogeneity of difference fundamental for MANOVA were fulfilled. A multivariate examination of change (MANOVA) was performed to recognize potential contrasts among status levels (i.e. high status competitor/low status competitor/nonathlete) with respect to factors in the TPB (i.e., demeanors, subjective standards, saw behavioral control, aims), and physical action levels (i.e., direct, energetic, add up to). The omnibus MANOVA was huge, Wilks' showing that noteworthy contrasts existed among status levels. Facilitate univariate examinations of difference (ANOVA) were performed with brandish status as the free factor (three levels: high status competitor, low status competitor, and non-competitor) and mentalities, subjective standards, saw behavioral control, aims, direct physical action every day, fiery physical action every

day, and aggregate physical movement every day as the reliant factors. No huge contrasts were found among bunches for subjective standards, direct physical movement, and aggregate physical action. Notwithstanding, noteworthy contrasts between bunches were found with respect to demeanors, , goals, , saw behavioral control, , and fiery physical action levels, . Additionally post-hoc tests showed that the critical contrasts ($p < .05$) between status levels for the above factors existed between high status competitors and non-competitors for mentalities, goals, saw behavioral control, and vivacious physical movement.

TABLE 3:DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR SATISFACTION DIMENSIONS

Variable	Total Sample	High Status	Low Status
Ability Utilization	5.93 ± 1.06	6.30 ± 0.76	5.23 ± 1.40
Personal Treatment	6.06 ± 1.25	6.17 ± 1.19	5.77 ± 1.40
Training and Instruction	5.93 ± 1.13	5.97 ± 1.17	5.82 ± 1.03
Team Task Contribution	5.50 ± 1.19	5.54 ± 1.14	5.38 ± 1.35
Team Social Contribution	5.74 ± 1.43	6.16 ± 0.99	4.60 ± 1.82
Team Integration	5.72 ± 1.06	5.84 ± 0.95	5.40 ± 1.29
Personal Dedication	6.24 ± 0.83	6.42 ± 0.62	5.76 ± 1.10

MANUSCRIPTS 2: YOUTH SPORT STATUS AND SATISFACTION

- **DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

The methods and standard deviations for the aggregate specimen and each subsample (i.e., higher status competitors and lower status competitors) are displayed in Table 3. When all is said in done, the scores for each examination variable were moderately high for each gathering, as the methods for each of the seven measurements of fulfillment (i.e., capacity use, individual treatment, preparing and guideline, group assignment commitment, group social commitment, group coordination, and individual devotion) were all well over the mid-point (i.e., 4). The mean scores for the general specimen went from 5.50 (group undertaking commitment) to 6.24 (individual devotion). All the more particularly, the mean scores for higher status competitors went from 5.54 (group undertaking commitment) to 6.42 (individual devotion), and the mean scores for bring down status competitors ran from 4.60 (group social commitment) to 5.82 (preparing and direction). It is likewise imperative to take note of the reliable pattern that higher status competitors held higher view of fulfillment than lowered status competitors on each of the seven measurements. At long last, between connections between's examination factors for the general specimen are displayed in Table 4 showing that the investigation factors (i.e., measurements of fulfillment) were decently to exceedingly intercorrelated ($.32 < r < .84$; $p < .01$).

TABLE 4 : CORRELATION FOR SATISFACTION DIMENSION

Variable	AU	PT	TandI	TTC	TSC	TInt	PD
AU	-	.53*	.45*	.62*	.84*	.68*	.69*
PT		-	.69*	.32*	.36*	.46*	.56*
TandI			-	.48*	.32*	.43*	.40*
TTC				-	.64*	.78*	.44*
TSC					-	.67*	.53*
Tint						-	.53*
PD							-

Note. AU: ability utilization, PT: personal treatment, Tandl: training and instruction, TTC: team task contribution, TSC: team social contribution, Tint: team integration, PD: personal dedication. *p<.01.

- **SPORT STATUS AND PERCEPTIONS OF SATISFACTION**

Suspicions of typicality and homogeneity of change essential for MANOVA were fulfilled, and information were likewise screened for missing information (members with significant parts of missing information were avoided from the examination). A multivariate examination of fluctuation was directed to test speculated contrasts between status levels (i.e., higher status competitor versus bring down status competitor) as to impression of seven measurements of fulfillment (i.e., capacity usage, individual treatment, preparing and guideline, group errand commitment, group social commitment, group reconciliation, individual devotion). The omnibus MANOVA was noteworthy, Wilks', indicating that huge contrasts existed between status levels.

Advance univariate examinations of difference (ANOVA) were performed with wear status as the autonomous variable (two levels: higher status and lower status), and each of the seven measurements of fulfillment as the reliant factors. No huge contrasts were found between bunches with respect to individual treatment, preparing and guideline, group undertaking commitment, or group coordination ($p > .05$). Notwithstanding, critical contrasts were found between bunches for capacity use, group social commitment , and individual devotion.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, sport status was identified as a potential influence on both (a) youth physical action practices and perceptions, and (b) satisfaction with the youth sport environment. A consistent trend indicated that higher status athletes held higher perceptions of physical activity and higher actual physical activity levels, followed by lower status athletes, and non-athletes. Youth sport team members with a lower sport status were also found to hold lower perceptions of satisfaction with the utilization of their abilities, their social membership within the team, and their own dedication to the team. Future researchers should continue to examine the implications of sport status on the youth physical activity perceptions and behaviours, as well as the youth sport environment with the overall goal of fostering satisfied participation in physical activity and sport regardless of respective status.

REFERENCES

Alison Divine, Ross Roberts & Craig R. Hall,(2016). Revisiting the exercise imagery and exercise dependence relationship. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, Pages: 1-12

Baranowski, T., Mendlein, J., Resnicow, K., Frank, E., Cullen, K. W., Baranowski, J. (2000). *Physical activity and nutrition in children and youth: An overview of obesity prevention*. Preventive Medicine, 31, 1-10.

Britsch, B. M. (2000). *The effect of sport participation on female adolescents' genderrole identity and self-concept: The role of motivation, ability, persistence, and team membership*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 61, 560.

Daniels, S. R. (2006). *The consequences of childhood obesity. The Future of Children*, 16, 47-67.

Donaldson, S. J., & Ronan, K. R. (2006). *The effects of sports participation on young adolescents' emotional well-being. Adolescence*, 41,

FiorenzoMoscatelli, Anna Valenzano, AnnamariaPetito, Antonio IvanoTriggiani, Michela Anna Pia Ciliberti, LivioLuongo, Marco Carotenuto, Maria Esposito, Antonietta Messina, Vincenzo Monda, MarcellinoMonda, Laura Capranica, Giovanni Messina & Giuseppe Cibelli (2016). Relationship between blood lactate and cortical excitability between taekwondo athletes and nonathletes after hand-grip exercise. *Somatosensory & Motor Research* Vol 33(2)

Gould, D., & Petlichkoff, L. (1988). *Participation motivation and attrition in young athletes*. In F. Smoll, R. Magill, & M. Ash (Eds.), Children in sport (3rd ed., pp. 161-178). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

Hagar, R. L. (2006). Television viewing and physical activity in children. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 35, 656-661.

Harper, M. G. (2006). *Childhood obesity: Strategies for prevention. Family and Community Health*, 29,

Katzmarzyk, P. T., & Janssen, I. (2004). The economic costs associated with physical inactivity and obesity in Canada: An update. *Canadian Journal of Applied Physiology*, 29,90-115.

Nelson, M. C, & Gordon-Larsen, P. (2006). *Physical activity and sedentary behaviour patterns are associated with selected adolescent health risk behaviours.* Pediatrics, 117, 1281-1290.

Statistics Canada (2005). *Canadian Community Health Survey: Obesity among children and adults*. Ottawa, ON: Author.

Tremblay, M. S., Katzmarzyk, P. T., & Wilms, J. D. (2002). Temporal trends in overweight and obesity in Canada, 1981-1996. *InternationalJournal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders: Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*, 26, 538-543.

Weiss, M. R., & Chaumeton, N. (1992). Motivational orientations in sport.In T. Horn (Ed.), *Advances in sport psychology* (pp.61-99). Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.